

## TUBERCULOSIS

# Response to Comment on “Community-Wide Isoniazid Preventive Therapy Drives Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis: A Model-Based Analysis”

Harriet L. Mills,<sup>1</sup> Ted Cohen,<sup>2,3\*</sup> Caroline Colijn<sup>4</sup>

**Our modeling work suggests that isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) can be effective in reducing drug-sensitive tuberculosis (TB) and that the risk of IPT driving resistance can be reduced by improving the detection and rapid treatment of individuals with drug-resistant disease and by limiting IPT to those in whom the intervention will have the largest benefit.**

We thank Dr. Kingsley N. Ukwaja for his response to our recent paper (1), and we welcome the opportunity to clarify what we believe are the primary policy implications of our work. There are challenges that dampen current enthusiasm for expansion of community-wide isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT).

These include issues alluded to by Dr. Ukwaja: little evidence that these programs can help alleviate disease burden at the population level (2), concerns that individuals with active tuberculosis (TB) may inadvertently receive monotherapy in programs where screening has sub-optimal negative predictive value (3), limited evidence of the individual benefits of IPT among individuals without positive tuberculin skin test (TST) responses (4), and concerns about the resource needs associated with expanding IPT in resource-constrained settings (5).

Although these issues deserve full discussion, our modeling work addresses a more circumscribed issue related to the potential for community-wide IPT to act as a selective pressure favoring the emergence of drug resistance over relatively long time horizons. This work was motivated by claims, based on a limited number of studies of the risk of resistance among individuals receiving IPT, that community-wide IPT would not drive increasing drug resistance (6) because it apparently did not increase the risk of resistance among those individuals (7, 8). To explore whether the individual-level effects on resistance could be extrapolated to the population level, we modeled IPT as being effective among individuals treated, despite variable reports on the role of IPT in controlling TB at the population level. Our model demonstrates that the direct (that is, among individuals treated with IPT) and the indirect (that is, among all individuals in the community) effects of IPT need not be the same: IPT can select for resistance at the population level even if it does not directly increase the risk of resistance among exposed individuals.

In turn, different population-level dynamics may account for some of the differences in apparent effectiveness of IPT in different settings, for example, because in a high-burden setting, reinfection may well occur very shortly after IPT treatment ceases, decreasing the effective duration of protection from IPT. Although one would not expect individual-level (that is, within-host natural history) effects of IPT to differ strongly from one setting to another, one would expect that different epidemics would give rise to different risks of

reinfection after IPT (9) and different implications for the role of IPT in TB control.

We do not believe that this work should serve as “nail in the coffin” for IPT, and certainly not for the more general idea of expanding preventive therapy to mitigate the risk of TB among immunocompromised individuals. As with any antibiotic, increased use can be expected to increase selective pressure in favor of resistant strains. Selective pressure is directly related to the effectiveness of an intervention; only through IPT’s considerable potential to help control drug-sensitive TB does the selective pressure arise. Even if community-wide IPT does act as a selective pressure in favor of drug resistance, it does so over the course of decades, and our models (as well as others) suggest a potential role of preventive therapy for mitigating the overall burden of TB. Understanding the exact reasons that Thibela failed to show these expected improvements in community control remains a major priority (2).

Our modeling work suggests that IPT can be effective in reducing drug-sensitive TB and that the risk of IPT driving resistance can be reduced by improving the detection and rapid treatment of individuals with drug-resistant disease and by limiting IPT to those in whom the intervention will have the largest benefit (that is, those with positive TST) (10). Preventive therapy that includes multiple drugs and drugs that are not used for treatment of active disease may also help to circumvent the risk that widespread use of preventive therapy will undermine the effectiveness of treatment of active disease.

## REFERENCES

1. H. L. Mills, T. Cohen, C. Colijn, Community-wide isoniazid preventive therapy drives drug-resistant tuberculosis: A model-based analysis. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **5**, 180ra49 (2013).
2. G. Churchyard, K. L. Fielding, J. J. Lewis, L. Coetzee, E. L. Corbett, P. Godfrey-Faussett, R. Hayes, A. D. Grant; on behalf of the Thibela TB Team, Community-wide isoniazid preventive therapy does not improve tuberculosis control among gold miners: The Thibela TB Study, South Africa. Paper presented at the 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Seattle, WA, 5 to 8 March 2012. Abstract 150aLB.
3. T. Oni, R. Burke, R. Tsekela, N. Bangani, R. Seldon, H. P. Gideon, K. Wood, K. A. Wilkinson, T. H. Ottenhoff, R. J. Wilkinson, High prevalence of subclinical tuberculosis in HIV1 infected persons without advanced immunodeficiency: Implications for TB screening. *Thorax* **66**, 669–673 (2011).
4. C. Akolo, I. Adetifa, S. Shepperd, J. Volmink, Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV infected persons. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **20**, CD000171 (2010).
5. N. Ait-Khaled, E. Alarcon, K. Bissell, F. Boillot, J. A. Caminero, C. Y. Chiang, P. Clevenbergh, R. Dlodlo, D. A. Enarson, P. Enarson, O. Ferroussier, P. I. Fujiwara, A. D. Harries, E. Heldal, S. G. Hinderaker, S. J. Kim, C. Lienhardt, H. L. Rieder, I. D. Rusen, A. Trébuq, A. Van Deun, N. Wilson, Isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV: Public health challenges and implementation issues. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* **13**, 927–935 (2009).

<sup>1</sup>Bristol Centre for Complexity Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TR, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Center for Communicable Disease Dynamics, Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA. <sup>3</sup>Division of Global Health Equity, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: tcohen@hsph.harvard.edu

6. Stop TB Department. World Health Organization 2011 guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource constrained settings. Available at: [http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241500708\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241500708_eng.pdf) (accessed 30 July 2013).
7. M. E. Balcells, S. L. Thomas, P. Godfrey-Faussett, A. D. Grant, Isoniazid preventive therapy and risk for resistant tuberculosis. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **12**, 744–751 (2006).
8. C. L. van Halsema, K. L. Fielding, V. N. Chihota, E. C. Russell, J. J. Lewis, G. J. Churchyard, A. D. Grant, Tuberculosis outcomes and drug susceptibility in individuals exposed to isoniazid preventive therapy in a high HIV prevalence setting. *AIDS* **24**, 1051–1055 (2010).
9. E. Nardell, G. Churchyard, What is thwarting tuberculosis prevention in high-burden settings? *N. Engl. J. Med.* **365**, 79–81 (2011).
10. S. D. Lawn, R. Wood, Short-course untargeted isoniazid preventive therapy in South Africa: Time to rethink policy? *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* **16**, 995–996 (2012).

Submitted 28 August 2013

Accepted 29 August 2013

Published 25 September 2013

10.1126/scitranslmed.3007442

**Citation:** H. L. Mills, T. Cohen, C. Colijn, Response to comment on “Community-wide isoniazid preventive therapy drives drug-resistant tuberculosis: A model-based analysis.” *Sci. Transl. Med.* **5**, 204lr4 (2013).

# Science Translational Medicine

## Response to Comment on "Community-Wide Isoniazid Preventive Therapy Drives Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis: A Model-Based Analysis"

Harriet L. Mills, Ted Cohen and Caroline Colijn

*Sci Transl Med* **5**, 204Ir4204Ir4.  
DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3007442

**ARTICLE TOOLS** <http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/5/204/204Ir4>

**REFERENCES** This article cites 8 articles, 2 of which you can access for free  
<http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/5/204/204Ir4#BIBL>

**PERMISSIONS** <http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

---

*Science Translational Medicine* (ISSN 1946-6242) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science Translational Medicine* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2013, American Association for the Advancement of Science